

*Research Paper*

## An Alternative Method to Minimize the Transportation Cost

Md. Mizanur Rahman<sup>1</sup>, Md. Bellal Hossain<sup>2</sup>, Md. Mosharraf Hossain<sup>3</sup><sup>1</sup>PhD Student, Department of Mathematics, RUET, Bangladesh.<sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Mathematics, RUET, Bangladesh.<sup>3</sup>Professor, Department of IPE, RUET, Bangladesh.

Corresponding Author: Md. Mizanur Rahman

### ABSTRACT

The main objective of transportation problem (TP) solution methods is to minimize the cost / time of transportation. Most of the currently used methods for solving transportation problems are trying to reach the optimal solution and most of those methods are considered complex. In this paper a new method is proposed for finding initial basic feasible solution (IBFS) also optimal or near to optimal cost for transportation directly. Here a moderate table called least entry table (LET) is formed by subtracting smallest odd cost from other odd cost and then divide all entries by common factors, Later the Decision Making Indicators (DMI) are calculated from the difference of the greatest unit cost and the nearest-to-the-greatest unit cost. The least entry of the DMI along the highest DMI is taken as the basic cell. Finally, loads have been imposed on the original TT corresponding to the basic cells of the DMI. So, it performs faster than the existing methods with a minimal computation time. Also this method will be very lucrative for those decision makers who are dealing with logistics and supply chain related issues.

**Keywords:** TP, VAM, LET, IBFS, LPP.DMI.

### INTRODUCTION

The transportation problem is one of the fundamental problems of network flow problem which is usually used to minimize the transportation cost for industries to transport goods, which deals with shipping commodities from sources to destinations. This problem is one of the earliest and most important applications of linear programming problem (LPP). Transportation problem was firstly presented by Hitchcock<sup>[5]</sup> as the basic transportation problem along with the constructive method of solution. However it cannot be used to solve optimization problems in complex business situations until when George B. Dantzig<sup>[4]</sup> applied the concept of Linear programming in solving the transportation models and then Charnes et al.<sup>[3]</sup> There are many

authors developed the algorithms to find IBFS such as P. Pandian et al.<sup>[10]</sup> Sudhakar et al,<sup>[11]</sup> N.M. Deshmukh,<sup>[9]</sup> Aminur Rahman Khan,<sup>[1]</sup> Amirul Islam,<sup>[2]</sup> Main Uddin,<sup>[7]</sup> M.A. Hakim,<sup>[8]</sup> Mollah Mesbahuddin Ahmed et al<sup>[8]</sup> etc. In 2016 Md. Mizanur Rahman et al<sup>[6]</sup> added an innovative method for minimization of transportation cost by subtract the smallest entry from each of the element of every row and column and place them on the right top and bottom respectively. Then form the CMST by the average of right top and bottom of the corresponding elements. To find IBFS the available methods are North West Corner, Row minima, Column minima, Matrix minima, Vogel's Approximation Method (VAM). The objective of this study is to determine the

best methods of minimizing transportation cost using the following models of transportation algorithms.

(i)North-west Corner method (ii) Vogel’s Approximation method,(iii) Also Verify the optimality by MODI method.

**Algorithm of the Method Presented**

**Herein:** To find the minimum cost it is constructed a moderate table called least entry table (LET). Now it is presented propose developed algorithm in finding the initial basic feasible solutions of transportation problems.

Here, Algorithm is applied in order to find the transportation cost needed to shift a particular product from factories to destinations (Showrooms). Proposed algorithm is given next:

- Step 1 Construct the Transportation matrix / Table from given transportation problems.
- Step 2 If there is no odd cost then find the common factor of all cost and divide all the cost by the common factor.
- Step 3 Select minimum odd cost from all cost in the matrix
- Step 4 Subtract selected least odd cost only from odd cost in matrix/Table. Now there will be at least one zero and remaining all cost become even. Then divide all the cost by the common factor to find LET.
- Step 5 Place the row and the column Decision Making Indicators (DMI) just after and below the supply and demand amount respectively within first brackets, which are the differences of the greatest and next-to-greatest element of each row and column of (DMI). If there are two or more greatest elements, difference has to be taken as zero.
- Step 6 Choose the highest Decision Making Indicators. If a tie occurs, choose the highest indicator along which the smallest cost element is present. If there are two or more smallest elements, choose any one of them arbitrarily. And make maximum possible allocation to the lowest cost cell corresponding to selected row or column.
- Step 7 Adjust the supply and demand requirements in the respective rows and columns
- Step 8 Repeat step 5 to 7,for remaining sources and showrooms till (m + n - 1) cells are allocated.
- Step 9 Finally total minimum cost is calculated as sum of the product of cost and corresponding allocated value of supply/demand.

**Example-1:** A company produces cement and it has three factories F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub> whose weekly production capacities are 7, 9 and 18 tons respectively. The company supplies cement to its four showrooms located at S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> whose weekly demands are 5, 8, 7 and 14 tons respectively. The transportation costs per ton cements are given below in the TT:

Factories	Products				Supply
	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>4</sub>	
F <sub>1</sub>	20	30	50	10	7
F <sub>2</sub>	70	30	40	60	9
F <sub>3</sub>	40	20	70	20	18
Demand	5	8	7	14	34

Table: 1.1

We want to schedule the shifting of cement from factories to showrooms with minimum cost.

Here the common factor of the cost entries is 10. So we divide all the cost entries by 10.

Factories	Products				Supply
	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>4</sub>	
F <sub>1</sub>	2	3	5	1	7
F <sub>2</sub>	7	3	4	6	9
F <sub>3</sub>	4	2	7	2	18
Demand	5	8	7	14	34

Table: 1.2

The minimum odd cost value is 1. Subtract 1 from all odd cost, which shown in Table 1.3

Factories	Products				Supply
	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>4</sub>	
F <sub>1</sub>	2	2	4	0	7
F <sub>2</sub>	6	2	4	6	9
F <sub>3</sub>	4	2	6	2	18
Demand	5	8	7	14	34

Table:1.3

Here the common factor of the cost entries is 2. So we multiplied all the cost entries by 2, we get LET

Factories	Products				Supply
	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>4</sub>	
F <sub>1</sub>	1	1	2	0	7
F <sub>2</sub>	3	1	2	3	9
F <sub>3</sub>	2	1	3	1	18
Demand	5	8	7	14	34

Table: 1.4

Allocate the cell (F<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>4</sub>), min (7,14) =7, we get x<sub>1,4</sub> = 7 and delete row F<sub>1</sub>, as for demand exhausted supply as (14-7) =7, which shown in Table 1.5

Factories	Product				supply	Row DMI
	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>4</sub>		
F <sub>1</sub>	1	1	2	7	0	(1)
F <sub>2</sub>	3	1	2	3	9	(1)
F <sub>3</sub>	2	1	3	1	18	(1)
Demand	5	8	7	7	27	
Column DMI	(1)	(0)	(1)	(2)		

Table : 1.5

Proceeding in this way we get the Table 1.6

Factories	Product				supply	Row DMI			
	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>4</sub>					
F <sub>1</sub>	1	1	2	7	0	(1)	-	-	-
F <sub>2</sub>	3	1	2	3	0	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
F <sub>3</sub>	2	1	3	1	0	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
Demand	0	0	0	0	0				
Column DMI	(1)	(0)	(1)	(2)					
	(1)	(0)	(1)	(2)					
	(1)	(0)	(1)	-					
	(1)	-	(1)	-					

Table : 1.6

Therefore, the final allocations to cells of original TT corresponding to the cells of LET are as below:

Factories	Products				Supply
	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>4</sub>	
F <sub>1</sub>	20	30	50	10	7
F <sub>2</sub>	70	30	40	60	9
F <sub>3</sub>	40	20	70	20	18
Demand	5	8	7	14	34

Table : 1.7

We see that the number of basic variables is 6 (3 + 4 - 1) and the basic cells do not contain any loop. Therefore, the obtained solution is Initial Basic Feasible Solution.

Thus the minimum cost is  $= 7 \times 10 + 2 \times 70 + 7 \times 40 + 3 \times 40 + 8 \times 20 + 7 \times 20 = 70 + 140 + 280 + 120 + 160 + 140 = 910$  units

**Example-2:** A company manufactures motor cars and it has three factories F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub> whose weekly production capacities are 9, 8 and 10 pieces respectively. The company supplies motor cars to its three showrooms located at S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub> whose weekly demands are 7, 12 and 8 pieces respectively. The transportation costs per piece of motor cars are given in the next TT:

Factory	Showroom			Supply
	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>3</sub>	
S <sub>1</sub>	6	6	10	9
S <sub>2</sub>	12	10	8	8
S <sub>3</sub>	12	20	14	10
Demand	7	12	8	27

Table : 2.1

We want to schedule the shifting of motor cars from factories to showrooms with minimum cost.

Factory	Showroom			Supply	Row DMI		
	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>3</sub>				
S <sub>1</sub>	0	9	1	0	(1)	-	-
S <sub>2</sub>	3	1	2	0	(1)	(1)	(1)
S <sub>3</sub>	2	5	2	0	(2)	(2)	(1)
Demand	0	0	0	0			
Column DMI	(3)	(4)	(1)				
	(3)	(4)	(1)				
	(0)	-	(0)				

Factory	Showroom			Supply
	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>3</sub>	
S <sub>1</sub>	6	6	10	9
S <sub>2</sub>	12	10	8	8
S <sub>3</sub>	12	20	14	10
Demand	7	12	8	27

The number of basic variables is 5 (= 3+3-1) and the basic cells do not contain a loop. Thus the solution obtained is a basic feasible solution.

Therefore, the minimum transportation cost is  $z = 9 \times 6 + 3 \times 10 + 5 \times 8 + 7 \times 12 + 3 \times 14 = 250$  units.

**Example-3:**

Factories	Showrooms					Supply
	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>3</sub>	F <sub>4</sub>	F <sub>5</sub>	
W <sub>1</sub>	12	3	6	12	12	60
W <sub>2</sub>	6	9	6	6	9	35
W <sub>3</sub>	9	15	6	12	12	40
Demand	22	45	20	18	30	135

Table : 3.1

Factories	Showrooms					Supply
	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>3</sub>	F <sub>4</sub>	F <sub>5</sub>	
W <sub>1</sub>	12	3	6	12	12	60
W <sub>2</sub>	6	9	6	6	9	35
W <sub>3</sub>	9	15	6	12	12	40
Demand	22	45	20	18	30	135

The minimum cost  $z = 45 \times 3 + 15 \times 6 + 22 \times 6 + 13 \times 6 + 5 \times 6 + 5 \times 12 + 30 \times 12 = 885$

**Example-4**

Factories	Products				Supply
	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>4</sub>	
F <sub>1</sub>	7	5	9	11	30
F <sub>2</sub>	4	3	8	6	25
F <sub>3</sub>	3	8	10	5	20
F <sub>4</sub>	2	6	7	3	15
Demand	30	30	20	10	90

IBFS is shown according to the proposed algorithm in the final allocation below:

Factories	Products				Supply
	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>4</sub>	
F <sub>1</sub>	5	5	9	11	30
F <sub>2</sub>	4	3	8	6	25
F <sub>3</sub>	3	8	10	5	20
F <sub>4</sub>	2	6	7	3	15
Demand	30	30	20	10	90

The minimum cost  $z = 5 \times 7 + 5 \times 5 + 20 \times 9 + 25 \times 3 + 20 \times 3 + 5 \times 2 + 10 \times 3 = 415$

### Comparison of Result Obtained in Different Methods

Example	Problem size	Propose Method	VAM	NWC Method	Optimal Solution
1	3×4	910	1000	1020	870
2	3×3	125	143	159	125
3	3×5	885	945	1089	860
4	4×4	415	470	540	415

### CONCLUSION

It is showed that the above presented and discussed method which gives us an initial basic feasible solution of the transportation problem in minimization of transportation cost. The proposed method is easy to be understood and applied. Vogel's Approximation Method is one of the well-known transportation methods for getting initial basic feasible solution. But from the example it is seen that by the proposed method it is obtained more efficient initial basic feasible solution compared to other two presented methods above. Also it is given optimum solution or near to optimum solution.

### REFERENCES

1. Aminur Rahman Khan, A Re-solution of the Transportation Problem: An Algorithmic Approach Jahangirnagar University Journal of Science, 34,2, 49 – 62,2011.
2. Amirul,I., M. Haque and M. S. Uddin, 'Extremum Difference Formula on Total Opportunity Cost: A Transportation Cost Minimization Technique', Prime University Journal of Multidisciplinary Quest, Vol.6, No.2, 2012
3. Charnes, Cooper. The Stepping-Stone Method for Explaining Linear Programming. Calculation in Transportation Problems. Management Science 1(1) 49-69,1954
4. Dantzig GB, Linear Programming and Extension, New jersey: Princeton University press.1963
5. Hitchcock FL (1941). The Distribution of a Product from Several Sources to Numerous Localities, Journal Of Mathematical Physics 20 1941, 224-230.
6. Md. Mizanur Rahman,Md. Bellal Hossain,Md. Mosharraf Hossain,An Innovative Method for Minimization of Transportation Cost. International Journal of Mathematics and Physical Sciences Research, vol 4, pp62-66, 2016.
7. Md. Main Uddin, Md. Azizur Rahman, Faruque Ahmed, M. Sharif Uddin,Md. Rashed Kabir, Minimization of Transportation Cost on the Basis of Time Allocation : An algorithmic Approach, Jahangirnagar journal of Mathematics & Mathematical Sciences, 28, 47 – 53, 2013.
8. Mollah Mesbahuddin Ahmed, Aminur Rahman Khan, MD. Sharif Uddin, Faruque Ahmed: A New Approach to Solve Transportation Problems, Open Journal of Optimization, vol 5 pp. 22 – 30,2016.
9. N.M.Deshmukh, An Innovative Method for Solving Transportation Problems. International Journal of Physics and Mathematical Science vol. 2(3) July-September, pp. 89 – 91 .2012
10. Pandian and Natarajan, A New Method for Finding an Optimal Solution for Transportation Problems, International J. of Math. Sci & Engg. Appls, vol 4, pp.59 – 65,2010.
11. V.J. Sudhakar, N. Arusankar and T. Karpagam: A New Approach for Finding an Optimal Solution for Transportation Problems, European Journal of Scientific Research, vol 68, pp.254 – 257,2012.

How to cite this article: Md. Mizanur R, Md. Bellal H, Md. Mosharraf H. An alternative method to minimize the transportation cost. International Journal of Research and Review. 2017; 4(5):92-95.

\*\*\*\*\*