

On Convergence Criteria for Sequences

Mohammed Nour A. Rabih^{1,2}¹Department of Mathematics- College of Science -University of BakhtEr-ruda- Eddwaim–Sudan²Department of Mathematics- College of Science & Arts in OklatAlskoor - Qassim University - Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT

In this paper we discuss the concept of convergence of real, complex and functions $\{f_n\}$ sequences, also we discuss the concept of sub-sequences. We presented the concept of convergence criteria for the sequences. First, we presented the cauchy criterion for convergence, and then we presented Weierstrass M-test for convergence and its some applications.

Key words: convergence – sequences - Weierstrass M-test-cauchy criterion

1. Sequences and Convergence

Definition 1.1. A sequence is a function [2,5] whose domain is N and whose codomain is \mathbb{R} . Given a function $f: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(n)$ is the n th term in the sequence.

Example 1.2. Let $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$. In this case, our function f is defined as

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{n}$$

As a listed sequence of numbers, this would look like the following:

$$\left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{6}, \dots \right)$$

Definition 1.3. A sequence of real numbers converges [1,4] to a real number a if, for every positive number ε , there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$, $|a_n - a| < \varepsilon$. We call such an a the limit of the sequence and write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(x) = a$.

Definition 1.4. A sequence $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of functions [4] on a subset A of \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{R} .

Definition 1.5. (Pointwise convergence), [4] Let D be a subset of \mathbb{R} and let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of functions defined on D . We say that $\{f_n\}$ converges pointwise on

D if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ exists for each point x in D .

This means that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ is a real number that depends only on x .

If $\{f_n\}$ is pointwise convergent then the function defined by

$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ for every x in D , is called the pointwise limit of the sequence $\{f_n\}$

Note: The notation $N = N(x, \varepsilon)$ means that the natural number N depends on the choice of x and ε .

Definition 1.6. (Uniform convergence), [4, 5]

Let D be a subset of \mathbb{R} and let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of real valued functions defined on D . Then $\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly to f if given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a natural number $N = N(\varepsilon)$ such that $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ for every $n > N$ and for every x in D .

Note: In the above definition the natural number N depends only on ε .

Therefore, uniform convergence implies pointwise convergence.

2. Subsequences

Definition 2.1.

Let $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence and $\{n_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ any strictly increasing sequence of positive integers; [2] that is,

$$0 < n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$$

Then the sequence $\{a_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$, i.e., $\{b_k\}_{k \geq 1}$, where $b_k = a_{n_k}$, is called a subsequence of $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$. That is, a subsequence is obtained by choosing terms from the original sequence, without altering the order of the terms, through the map $k \rightarrow n_k$, which determines the indices used to pick out the subsequence. For instance, $\{a_{7k+1}\}$ corresponds to the sequence of positive integers $n_k = 7k + 1, k = 1, 2, \dots$

Observe that every increasing sequence $\{n_k\}$ of positive integers must tend to infinity, because

$$n_k \geq k \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

The sequences

$$\left\{\frac{1}{k^2}\right\}_{k \geq 1}, \left\{\frac{1}{2k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}, \left\{\frac{1}{2k+1}\right\}_{k \geq 1}, \left\{\frac{1}{5k+3}\right\}_{k \geq 1}, \left\{\frac{1}{2^k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$$

are some subsequences [2] of the sequence $\{1/k\}_{k \geq 1}$, formed by setting $n_k = k^2, 2k, 2k + 1, 5k + 3, 2^k$, respectively. Note that all the above subsequences converge to the same limit, which is also the limit of the original sequence $\{1/k\}_{k \geq 1}$. Can we conjecture that every subsequence of a convergent sequence must converge and converge to the same limit?

Theorem 2.2. (Invariance property of subsequences). [2]

If $\{a_n\}$ converges, then every subsequence $\{a_{n_k}\}$ of it converges to the same limit. Also, if $a_n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\{a_{n_k}\} \rightarrow \infty$ as well.

Proof. Suppose that $\{a_{n_k}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{a_n\}$. Note that $n_k \geq k$. Let $L = \lim a_n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists an N such that

$$|a_k - L| < \varepsilon \text{ for } k \geq N. (1)$$

Now $k \geq N$ implies $n_k \geq N$, which in turn implies that

$$|a_{n_k} - L| < \varepsilon \text{ for } n_k \geq N. (2)$$

Thus, a_{n_k} converges to L as $k \rightarrow \infty$. The proof of the second part follows similarly.

Corollary 2.3. The sequence $\{a_n\}$ is divergent [4] if it has two convergent subsequences with different limits. Also, $\{a_n\}$ is divergent if it has a subsequence that tends to ∞ or a subsequence that tends to $-\infty$.

Theorem 2.4. A sequence is convergent if and only if there exists a real number L such that every subsequence of the sequence has a further subsequence that converges to L .

Corollary 2.5. If both odd and even subsequences of $\{a_n\}$ converge to the same limit l , then so does the original sequence.

Note that $\{(-1)^n\}$ diverges, because it has two subsequences $\{(-1)^{2n}\}$ and $\{(-1)^{2n-1}\}$ converging to two different limits, namely 1 and -1.

3. Complex Sequences

Let $\{z_n\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers [3] and let $z \in \mathbb{C}$. We say that $\{z_n\}$ converges to z and write $z_n \rightarrow z$ (or $\lim z_n = z$ etc.) if for every positive real number $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a natural number N such that

$$n \geq N \Rightarrow |z_n - z| < \varepsilon$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $z_n = x_n + iy_n$.

(i) $z_n \rightarrow z \Rightarrow x_n \rightarrow \Re z, y_n \rightarrow \Im z$

(ii) $x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y \Rightarrow z_n \rightarrow x_n + iy_n$

Proof. (i) Put $x_n = \Re z_n$. $|x_n - X| = \Re(z_n - z) \leq |z_n - z|$. So given $\varepsilon > 0$ use the same N .

(ii) $|z_n - z| \leq |x_n - x| + |y_n - y|$ by Δ law

Find N_1 to ensure first term is less than $\varepsilon/2$, and N_2 to ensure second is less than $\varepsilon/2$ then use $N := \min(N_1, N_2)$.

4. Convergence criteria for sequences

I. Cauchy criterion

Definition 4.1. [3] The real sequence a_n converges to something if and only if this holds: for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists N such that $|a_n - a_m| < \varepsilon$ whenever $n, m > N$. This is necessary and sufficient.

To prove one implication: Suppose the sequence a_n converges, [2] say to a . Then by definition, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find N such that

$|a - a_n| < \varepsilon$ whenever $n > N$. But then if we are given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find N such that $|a - a_n| < \varepsilon/2$ for $n > N$, and then

$$|a_n - a_m| = |(a_n - a) - (a_m - a)| < |a_n - a| + |a_m - a| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon \quad (3)$$

for $m, n > N$.

To prove the other: Suppose the criterion [3] holds. We know that we have a subsequence a_{n_i} which converges to some a . I claim that in fact the whole sequence converges to this same a . We know that for any $\varepsilon > 0$

we can find N_1 such that $|a_{n_i} - a| < \varepsilon$ for $i \geq N_1$. We also know that if we are given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find K_2 such that $|a_n - a_m| < \varepsilon$ for $m \geq N_2$.

Now we want to prove that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find N such that $|a_n - a| < \varepsilon$ for $n \geq N$.

First choose N_1 such that $|a - a_{n_i}| < \varepsilon/2$ for $i \geq N_1$. Second, choose N_2 such that $|a_n - a_m| < \varepsilon/2$ (4)

for $m, n \geq N_2$. Suppose $n \geq N_2$. Choose some a_{n_i} with both $n_i \geq N_2$ and $i \geq N_1$. Then

$$|a_n - a| = |(a_n - a_{n_i}) + (a_{n_i} - a)| \leq |a_n - a_{n_i}| + |a_{n_i} - a| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon \quad (5)$$

Now Suppose $\{z_n\}$ is a sequence of complex numbers [3] for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{z_n\}$ converges if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|z_n - z_m| < \varepsilon$ for every $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m > n > N$.

Any sequence that satisfies the Cauchy Criterion [3] is known as a Cauchy sequence. The above theorem also shows that every convergent sequence is Cauchy, and every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Corollary 4.2.

If $\{z_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence [2, 3] that converges to z , and N is chosen such that $|z_n - z_m| < \varepsilon$ for every $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m > N, n > N$, then for each $n > N$, $|z_n - z| < \varepsilon$.

Proof:

This proof is rather straightforward. Let $m \rightarrow \infty$ in the inequality $|z_n - z_m| < \varepsilon$. It follows from this that $|z_n - z| \leq \varepsilon$.

Corollary 4.3.

The series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k$ converges [2] if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an N such that $|\sum_{k=n+1}^m a_k| < \varepsilon$ for every $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m > n > N$

Definition 4.4. A sequence (f_n) of functions $f_n : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly Cauchy on A if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$m, n > N \text{ implies that } |f_m(x) - f_n(x)| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in A.$$

The key part of the following proof is the argument to show that a pointwise convergent, uniformly Cauchy sequence converges uniformly.

Theorem 4.5. A sequence (f_n) of functions $f_n : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ converges uniformly on A if and only if it is uniformly Cauchy on A .

Proof. Suppose that (f_n) converges uniformly, [4] to f on A . Then, given

$$\varepsilon > 0, \text{ there exists } N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ if } n > N.$$

It follows that if $m, n > N$ then $|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| \leq |f_m(x) - f(x)| + |f(x) - f_n(x)| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in A$,

which shows that (f_n) is uniformly Cauchy. [3,4]

Conversely, suppose that (f_n) is uniformly Cauchy. Then for each $x \in A$, the real sequence [2,5] $(f_n(x))$ is Cauchy, so it converges by the completeness of \mathbb{R} . We define $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x), \quad (6)$$

and then $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise.

To prove that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since (f_n) is uniformly Cauchy, we can choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ (depending only on ε) such that

$$|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ if } m, n > N.$$

Let $n > N$ and $x \in A$. Then for every $m > N$ we have

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| + |f_m(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon/2 + |f_m(x) - f(x)|.$$

Since $f_m(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we can choose $m > N$ (depending on x , but it doesn't matter since m doesn't appear in the final result) such that

$$|f_m(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon/2$$

It follows that if $n > N$, then

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon \quad (7)$$

for all $x \in A$,

which proves that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly.

Alternatively, we can take the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in the Cauchy condition to get for all $x \in A$ and $n > N$ that

$$|f(x) - f_n(x)| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |f_m(x) - f_n(x)| \leq \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon \quad (8)$$

II. Weierstrass M-test

Theorem 4.6. (Weierstrass M-test) [5]

Suppose $\{f_k\}$ is a sequence of real- or complex-valued functions [3] on some set E . Also, suppose that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$ is a convergent series where M_k are real non-negative terms. If $|f_k(z)| \leq M_k$ for all k greater than some number N and for all z in some set E , then it follows that the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k$ converges uniformly on E .

Proof:

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$ is Cauchy, we can choose a number $M > N$ such that for any m and n that satisfy $m > n > M$ we get that $\sum_{k=n+1}^m M_k < \varepsilon$. Then we see that for z in the set E that our series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(z)$ is also Cauchy, since

$$|\sum_{k=n+1}^m f_k(z)| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^m |f_k(z)| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^m M_k < \varepsilon \quad (10)$$

Therefore, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(z)$ converges for every $z \in E$. Let us say that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(z)$ converges to the function $F(z)$.

Now, we want to show that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(z)$ converges uniformly to $F(z)$. Observe that we can rewrite

$$\left| \sum_{k=n+1}^m f_k(z) \right| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^m |f_k(z)| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^m M_k < \varepsilon$$

in terms of partial sums

$$|\sum_{k=0}^m f_k(z) - \sum_{k=0}^n f_k(z)| < \varepsilon \quad (11)$$

for all $z \in E$, and where $m > n > N$. Then applying Corollary (3.4) of the Cauchy Criterion, we see that

$$|F(z) - \sum_{k=0}^n f_k(z)| \leq \varepsilon \quad (12)$$

For $z \in E$, and where $m > n > N$. Thus, the uniform convergence is shown.

Theorem 4.7. (Comparison test) [2]

Suppose we have the terms a_k such that $|a_k| \leq M_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k > N$ for some number N . Then if the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$ converges, the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k$ converges as well.

Since we know some of the ideas behind the Weierstrass M-Test, [5] we can now begin to look at some of its applications. We will first consider an application of the Weierstrass M-Test in the set of \mathbb{R} , before moving into applications within the set of \mathbb{C} .

Example 4.8.

Show that the real-valued series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{3^k}\right)$$

is uniformly convergent.

The Weierstrass M-Test [5] gives us the ability to show this without considering any limits. First, we observe that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\left| \sin\left(\frac{k}{3^k}\right) \right| \leq 1 \text{ for all } k. \text{ Then it is easy to see that } \left| \frac{1}{4^k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{3^k}\right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{4^k}. \text{ So now let } M_k = \frac{1}{4^k}.$$

Now we want to show that the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k}$ (our series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k$) is convergent. This series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k}$ converges to $\frac{1}{3}$ by the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.9.

The series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k$ converges to $\frac{1}{1-a}$ if $|a| < 1$.

So we now have $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k} = \frac{4}{3} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k} = 1 + \frac{1}{3}$. Hence $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k} = \frac{1}{3}$, (our series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k = \frac{1}{3}$). Now by the Weierstrass M-Test we see the

series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{3^k}\right)$ is uniformly convergent on \mathbb{R} .

Now to consider an *application* of the Weierstrass M-Test in the set of \mathbb{C} .

Example 4.10.

Show that the exponential function $f(z) = e^z$ is uniformly convergent [1,4] on any bounded set $S \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Recall that e^z can be rewritten as the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!}$. Now we will show that this series is uniformly on some disk D of radius r centered at the origin. To show this we must

find some M_k such that $\left|\frac{z^k}{k!}\right| \leq M_k$ for all $z \in D$. Recall that $|z^k| \leq |z|^k$, and that $|z| \in \mathbb{R}$. So let $|z| < r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then it follows that $\left|\frac{z^k}{k!}\right| \leq \frac{|z|^k}{k!} \leq \frac{r^k}{k!}$. We see that $\frac{r^k}{k!} \in \mathbb{R}$, so now let $M_k = \frac{r^k}{k!}$.

We may be able to *apply* the Weierstrass M-Test, [5] if we can show that the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$ converges. If we use the (*Ratio Test*), [2] we can prove that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$ is convergent. So now recall:

III. Ratio Test:

[2] Given a series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k$, find

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} \right| = L \quad (13)$$

If $L > 1$, the series diverges

If $L < 1$, the series converges

If $L = 1$ or the limit fails to exist, then the test is inconclusive.

So now we see that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{M_{k+1}}{M_k} \right| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{r^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}}{\frac{r^k}{k!}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r}{k+1} = 0.$$

Thus by the (*Ratio Test*) we see that the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$ converges. Then by the

Weierstrass M-Test we see that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!}$ is uniformly convergent on some disk D of radius D centered at the origin.

REFERENCES

1. R.M. Dudley, *on sequential convergence*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1964), 483-507.
2. Walter Rudin. *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*. McGraw-Hill Inc. 1976.
3. Ash, R. B., & Novinger, W. P. (n.d.). *Complex analysis*. Illinois: University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Dept. of mathematics. Retrieved April 14, 2009,
4. Zbigniew Grande. *On the almost monotone convergence of sequences of continuous functions*. Eur. J. Math. 9(4) . 2011.772-777
5. Stephen Abbott. *Understanding Analysis*. Springer. 2015.

How to cite this article: Mohammed Rabih NA. On convergence criteria for sequences. International Journal of Research and Review. 2017; 4(5):87-91.
